1 test test test

THE COURT: Ms. Gowan, do you have a report from this morning's argument in the Second Circuit?

 $\,$ MS. GOWAN: Yes, your Honor, the government does. I would like Ms. Wolstein to so advise the Court.

THE COURT: Fine. Ms. Willstein.

MS. WOLSTEIN: Thank you, your Honor. Good afternoon. What happened this morning was the Court of Appeals dismissed the hospital's petition for lack of jurisdiction, and that was in order for the hospital to be able to go into contempt in order for the Court of Appeals to have before it an appealable order, namely, the contempt order. The court stated that it would be issuing an order this afternoon reflecting its ruling.

At the hearing this morning the hospital's lawyer Mr. Frank represented to the Court of Appeals that the hospital did in fact, does in fact, intend to go into contempt in order to come up with an appealable order.

We over the lunch break called the hospital and again confirmed. We were informed by the hospital counsel that indeed they did intend to go into contempt. They stated that they were not intending to be present here at 2 o'clock but that they are available by phone or are available to come if the Court so desires.

The Court of Appeals also stated this morning that as soon as its order issues reflecting its ruling, that the

page 2

hospital will be in contempt of this Court's order of March 26th directing the hospital to produce documents by noon on March 29th, and that as a result of that statement by the Court of Appeals being and the government's understanding, the government therefore moves simultaneously with the issuance of the Court of Appeals order to hold the hospital in contempt of the Court's March 26th order directing production by noon on March 29th.

As I stated earlier, the Court of Appeals made clear that once there is an order of this Court holding the hospital in contempt $-\!-$

MS. WOLSTEIN: This court, the district court?

MS. WOLSTEIN: This court, the district court. Once the Court holds the hospital in contempt, there is an appealable order and that the Court of Appeals fully expects the hospital to immediately, immediately appeal to bring the matter back before the circuit in a manner that the court has jurisdiction over.

In light of that ruling, the government's position is and our application is for the Court of course to rule as promptly as it sees fit on the contempt motion and that if the hospital does not bring the matter back to the Court of Appeals within four business hours, therefore requiring the government to be put to the task of bringing the matter back to the Court of Appeals, the government requests that the hospital be fined

\$500 for its contempt, and we will thereafter be ourselves bringing the matter back to the Court of Appeals in the case of a failure by the hospital to do so in timely fashion. In fact, my colleague reminds me that Judge Sack in fact invited the government to bring the matter back before the Court of Appeals 6 if Presbyterian Hospital's actions are not taken in a timely, 7 immediate fashion. 8 That is what the government intends to do and asks 9 this Court to do. 10 THE COURT: Keep your voice up, please. 11 MS. WOLSTEIN: Yes. Sorry, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: I am sure you are very weary, as everyone 13 in this courtroom is, but try and keep it up. 14 Is it the government's position that this Court has 15 the power to hold the hospital in contempt prior to the Court 16 of Appeals issuing its order this afternoon or? I assume we 17 have to await that, do we not? 18 MS. WOLSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. We believe the jurisdiction vests with the district court once the Court of 19 20 Appeals issues its order. 21 THE COURT: But only then? MS. WOLSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. 22 23 THE COURT: Do we have any indication as to when they 24 may do that? 25 MS. WOLSTEIN: Yes. They said before the sun sets, page 4 some memorable phrase like that. The hospital's view, based on some discussion in the 3 Court of Appeals, is that this Court, the district court, doesn't have jurisdiction until the mandate issues. We 5 understood Judge Sack to be extremely clear, after a little bit 6 of back and forth, that jurisdiction does not await issuance of 7 the mandate but that jurisdiction returns to the district court 8 upon issuance of the Court of Appeals' order. That is, when 9 the Court of Appeals' order is docketed. 10 THE COURT: Did you leave with some understanding with 11 the Court of Appeals that they will telephonically notify you 12 as soon as the order is issued, and will they do so with my 13 chambers? 14 MS. WOLSTEIN: They didn't expressly say so. They 1.5 have proceeded by fax in the past. They have also, they did 16 actually I am reminded again, that we were telephoned when we 17 were proceeding for reconsideration was in the Court of Appeals 18 earlier, a couple of weeks ago [> the proceeding<]</pre> 19 There is something I wanted to add. 20 THE COURT: Go right ahead. 21 MS. WOLSTEIN: Thank you. Based on some questions 22 from the panel this morning. As your Honor knows, the 23 government has always believed that the medical records that we have subpoenaed are relevant and certainly central to the 25 litigation and to the proper adjudication of the plaintiffs'

2.3

claims. We think the Court has expressed the same view many times, and we understand the Court to think the records were relevant as well.

The Court of Appeals asked some questions on the other side of the undue burden question, namely, what steps have been taken to protect the privacy interests of the patients so that in the equation as between weighing the relevance and the need for the records against the intrusion on the patients' privacy interest, what consideration of the privacy interests have been taken.

We pointed out to the Court of Appeals this Court's, the district court's, very strong statement in its March 18th order basically setting out that the issue to be decided pitted two very important interests against each OEURT: The need for fair adjudication based on all the facts and the very STAEUPBGT, I think the Court used, of the doctor-patient relationship and the need to protect the sanctity of the privacy interests there. We certainly pointed that out to the Court of Appeals and we pointed to this Court's statement at the February 5th conference where it expressed the view that patient privacy was also a central concern in resolving the matter.

We pointed out also the extraordinary steps that the government and the Court have taken to ensure that all identifying information would be redacted from the records and

page 6

the extraordinarily protective order with its multiple layers of protection that the Court signed on January 23rd.

So we think that, in light of all this, the government and the Court have taken extraordinary steps to protect the privacy interests of the patients. But if your Honor were inclined to amplify his prior statements on the importance in the balancing equation of the patient privacy rights against the relevance and the need for the documents, the government would respectfully request that the Court do so.

THE COURT: This would be for something when you again meet with the Court of Appeals? Is that what you are suggesting? You don't need this at this moment, I take it? I assume you had all the statements. I thought the government and the Court had gone to great lengths to ensure the privacy of all the patients. We were not unmindful of that, but we felt, as you expressed -- I cannot quote myself, but we drove mightily to balance the competing interests, but that at all times we did everything, I thought, that we could think of to protect the privacy of women, the patients, and the hospital, and would continue to do so.

Perhaps we could even get a look at the transcript of the argument this morning. I assume what you are looking for is something after the next proceeding I guess in this court of our position on that question. Is that correct?

MS. WOLSTEIN: Yes, your Honor, if the Court so

desired. That is exactly right. THE COURT: I think also -- I have great fears about 3 justice being achieved here. I think the best thing to do to make sure that this all works smoothly, I am not quite sure --5 did Judge Sack preside today? 6 MS. WOLSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: He was the one who said before the sun 8 sets? The ohm trouble is we have daylight SAEUFPLGTS were this 9 WEURPT time, we would be better served. 10 You might inform them that I will stand at the ready 11 and I will consider whether it is necessary to issue a 12 statement such as you suggest now, but that can be done later today or just when. I assure you that the Court takes 1.3 14 seriously the concerns of all parties. The Court also is very 15 mindful and very concerned, as I think I expressed more than 16 once for sure, that the Court is concerned that there be a 17 level playing field, that all parties' rights be preserved, and 18 that I felt and it became increasingly evident as this trial 19 went on that significant concerns that were relevant to this case were in those hospital records or at least the right of 20 21 the government to examine them to make sure that they knew all 22 the facts. In that effort I hope that production would happen 23 in order to make that a level playing field. 24 In any event, what I do think would be important so that there be no further delay, I would ask, Ms. Wolstein, if page 8 you would assume the burden of informing Mr. Frank that he is being ordered to come to court immediately. 3 MS. WOLSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. Conditional and that 4 he should make himself available to this Court in my courtroom 5 for the balance of the day until we hear from the Court of 6 Appeals. I don't want any question of delay because he is in 7 his office and then he makes some request to be heard. He 8 knows what happened this morning, correct? 9 MS. WOLSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Fully aware of that, and he chose not to 11 be here but to go to his office. You inform him that I have ordered him to appear so that he is available to this Court so 12 13 that the moment the Second Circuit issues its order, we can 14 act. 1.5 MS. WOLSTEIN: Yes, your Honor. I will step outside 16 if that is all right with the Court, and call him right now. 17 THE COURT: I am going to recess the court. I will be 18 in my chambers. I have ordered him and you can take that on 19 your shoulders if you would be so kind. 20 Is there anything else that any counsel wishes to be 21 heard on? 22 MS. GOWAN: No, your Honor, for the government. 23 MR. HUT: Nothing from the plaintiffs, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Thank you all. Stay tuned. 25 (Recess) 4/20/04 Judge Casey take 2 of NAF versus Ashcroft.

```
THE FOREPERSON: And Roth, RFRPBLGTS
             Roth, frank, Frank,.
 3
              THE COURT: Good evening, please be seated.
              THE DEPUTY CLERK: National abortion federal etal
 5
     against John Ashcroftet all.
             All counsel please side TPAOEU themselves for the
 6
 7
     record.
 8
             MS. CHAITEN: Lorie Chaiten from the Roger bald win
 9
     foundation of the ACLU object behalf of the plaintiffs,.
10
             MS. PARKER: Park park from will PHER cut letter
11
     and plaintiffs on.
12
              MR. FRANK: James frank, TP*EUP EUPZizeer on behalf of
13
     New York Presbyterian Hospital.
14
              MS. ROTH: Judy Roth, TP*EUP EUZizeer on behalf of New
15
    York Presbyterian Hospital.
16
             MS. GOWAN: Assistant United States attorney Gowan ow
17
   ban PWAOF of the.
              MR. LANE: Lane lane lane on behalf of the defendant.
18
              MS. WOLSTEIN: Assistant United States attorney
19
20
    Elizabeth Wolstein on behalf of defendant.
21
             MR. PANTOJA: Assistant United States attorney Joseph
22
   Pantoja on behalf of the defendant.
2.3
             THE COURT: All right, well has everyone received a
24
     copy of the order from the Second Circuit Court of Appeals?
             MR. FRANK: No, we have not, your Honor.
page 10
              THE COURT: All right, Mr. coffer, would you please
     give a copy?
 3
              THE COURT: You have not, Mr. frank.
 4
              MS. GOWAN: Neither has the government, your Honor.
 5
              MS. CHAITEN: Nor has the plaintiff.
              THE COURT: It is relatively short.
 6
             MS. GOWAN: Thank you, Mr. coffer.
 7
 8
             Your Honor, we have been in contact with Mr. bolden
 9
     the supervising managing clerk up until two minutes before 5:00
10
     and he told us that an order had not yet issued.
11
              THE COURT: We were told at about 4:40 that no order
12
     would issue and I had dispatched a law clerk to inform
13
     Mr. Frank that despite what we have been told earlier in the
14
     day he could depart when the phone rang saying disregard the
1.5
     first order, an order would be forgecoming, which is what you
16
     now have.
17
              MS. GOWAN: Thank you, your Honor.
18
              MR. FRANK: Thank you, your Honor.
              THE COURT: We were told to stand by, which is what we
19
20
     did, and then when we couldn't reach anyone we finally called
21
     the clerk's office of the Second Circuit and we are told that
22
     no order would come, that's what I was mentioning a moment ago
23
     and then it was countered shortly thereafter.
              But, I hope that you, Mr. flank was here with
25
    Ms. Gowan. Would you please, as the story unfold leave us a
```

```
number where you can be reached we tried all afternoon to reach
     you and all of your assistant, both here in the office, at the
     U.S. Attorney's office maintains in this court house and at
     your home offices as well. We couldn't reach anybody trying to
     find out what was going on.
 6
              MS. GOWAN: Your Honor, we were here in our fifth
 7
     floor office all afternoon and we were also checking our
 8
     phones, were you leaving messages on our office phones?
 9
             THE COURT: I believe that plaintiff coffee will
10
     discuss with you.
11
              MS. GOWAN: Cough cough name no message.
12
              MS. GOWAN: Every 10 minutes Ms. Wolstein and I to see
13
     if there was a message from the Second Circuit.
14
              THE COURT: We may not rank quite as high with those
15
     folks but I hope you work out with Mr. coffer some KHO means of
16
     communication that we can maintain STKWRAO most certainly, your
17
18
              MS. GOWAN: Your Honor, the government has had an
19
     opportunity to review the order.
20
              THE COURT: And Mr. frank you have had an opportunity
21
    to read it?
22
              MR. FRANK: Yes, your Honor.
2.3
              THE COURT: And the plaintiffs who will be taking the
24
     lead there today?
              MS. CHAITEN: Your Honor, this is Ms. Chaiten, yes, we
25
page 12
     have had a chance to read this.
              THE COURT: I'm not sure you are a party to it but you
 3
     have had a chance to read it in any event?
              MS. CHAITEN: We V. your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Mr. frank, I think consistent with the
 6
     order of the Court of Appeals do you, on behalf of your client,
 7
     and again, is it the New York Presbyterian Hospital that is the
 8
     correct title?
 9
             MR. FRANK: Your Honor, the correct name of the
10
     hospital is the New York and Presbyterian Hospital.
11
              THE COURT: New York and Presbyterian Hospital.
              Do you, on behalf of your client, 3ER sift in refusal
12
13
     to comply with this subpoena and the order of this Court to
14
     produce the records requested in that order and subpoena?
1.5
              MR. FRANK: Yes, your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: All right, fine.
17
              Does the government wish to be heard, otherwise we
18
     will take just a very short break and I want to just make sure
19
     that I have drafted an order that covers all of this.
20
              MS. WOLSTEIN: No, your Honor.
21
              THE COURT: I mean of course Mr. frank do you wish any
22
     further argument? I think consistent with the order of the
23
     Court that's all that is needed and required, is your statement
     that you persist refusal to comply, correct? Unless you wish
25
     to be heard on something.
```

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

3

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2.3

MR. FRANK: Your Honor, as we advised the Court this morning, we believe that an appeal of this issue is important and that since this is the vehicle for obtaining that appeal, we have respectfully declined to produce the records so we can comply with the appeal procedures that the Court of Appeals has outlined for us.

THE COURT: Ms. Gowan do you wish to add anything. MS. GOWAN: No, other than consistent with the government's position all along, we seek expeditious decision on this issue so that the proceedings before your Honor may proceed to an appropriate conclusion.

THE COURT: I think all parties to this litigation are desirous of of the ability of this toward, to bring this case to a conclusion in a speedy and just fashion and we will attempt to achieve those ends.

Now, if you will all bear with us just a few moments the Court will take a brief recess and I will read our order and issue copies to all of you.

The Court will stand in recess.

THE COURT: Whereas in a written decision dated March 18th, 2004, the Court granted defendant's motion to enforce a subpoena seeking production of certain medical records in the possession of non-party New York and Presbyterian Hospital.

24 Whereas N. a memo endorsement dated March 26, 2004, the Court ordered that the records be produced no later than

page 14

March 29th, 2004 at 12:00 p.m.

Whereas, the Court held a hearing on April 20th, 2004, at which counsel for the hospital indicated that the hospital would not produce the records.

Whereas, the hospital has failed to comply with the Court's orders it is therefore ordered that, one, the hospital is in contempt of this Court's orders of March 18th and 26th, 2004.; (2) the hospital is fined \$500 for failure to produce the records.

All right, that concludes the contempt citation. Is there anything further that any counsel wishes to be heard on?

MS. GOWAN: Not from the government, your Honor. THE COURT: I do note, I believe correct me if I am wrong, that the Court of Appeals order says that it is incumbent upon the hospital to file immediately if they intend to do so. Is that correct I believe it is in I TALics, is it not? TP-FRPBLT yes, your Honor, and may I ask.

THE COURT: I believe there is night filing, is there not? Ms. Gowan, I assume you would know.

21 MS. GOWAN: Yes, your Honor, there is night filing, up 22 until midnight.

THE COURT: So I quess immediately is immediately. one of the great judges of this Court once against late Thomas Murphy, I believe they phone them in now.

23

24

25

So, there you have T. One other thing for the parties who are here, I guess 3 with have no choice but to await the decision of the Court of Appeals. It is pretty hard to schedule closing arguments or filing of brief with findings of facts and conclusions of law 6 until we know that we have a completed trial, unless anybody 7 has any further thoughts on that I suspect the only thing we 8 can do is adjourn see anyway dea. 9 MR. FRANK: Your Honor, may I ask two questions? 10 THE COURT: You may. 11 MR. FRANK: Is your order now considered entered for 12 purposes of the appellate Court? 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. FRANK: And secondly, may I request a stay of the 15 Court's order pending decision on appeal? 16 THE COURT: No, sir. Your application is denied. 17 This has been a long journey and that has been denied by this 18 Court before, it was denied by the Court of Appeals today and 19 this Court will likewise deny it now. 20 MR. FRANK: Thank you. THE COURT: Might I ask what the purpose of your 21 22 request to stay this time for is? 23 MR. FRANK: I believe that the Court of Appeals 24 invited a motion to stay pending appeal and I was asking the District Court to stay the order before we made that page 16 application to the Court of Appeals with our appeal papers, which we will file shortly. 3 THE COURT: You need that -- I don't know that you do 4 but if you need that your application is denied. 5 MR. FRANK: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: One thing. I don't know -- I would 6 7 appreciate it to be done by letter of the parties. Since this 8 has been tried along with other cases in at least for this 9 Court in an unusual fashion, I would like a letter from both 10 parties as to, it's almost as if you are going up to the stadium and you need a program. But I would like a statement 11 12 of the witnesses who appeared in this trial who testified in 13 either the case in Nebraska or the case in San Francisco. 14 And I would also like to know whether or not they 15 testified before Congress. All right? If both sides would 16 take the burden on themselves for their own witness. And if 17 they testified in the other cases and did not testify here, I 18 would like to know who they are. 19 Might I ask, Ms. Gowan, perhaps you know or maybe you 20 don't know or maybe you all know, have the cases in Nebraska 21 and California concluded?

MS. GOWAN: Yes, your Honor. There was closing

arguments in the San Francisco case this past Friday and then

a, the prior Friday before the proceedings were concluded in

Nebraska. There were not closings in Nebraska, however, the

Judge there has ordered briefing and I believe closing in -- I want to say June, your Honor, but I could put those facts in 3 the government's letter foot Court. THE COURT: I would appreciate that. 5 MS. GOWAN: And then the judge in San Francisco has 6 also ordered some post-trial briefing but on a more expeditious 7 schedule than that that has been ordered by the judge in 8 Nebraska. 9 But we will set all of those facts out for the Court. 10 THE COURT: I would appreciate knowing what has 11 happened and what is happening in those cases. Thank you. 12 Is there anything else that any counsel would like to 13 be heard on? 14 MS. CHAITEN: Your Honor, this is Ms. Chaiten for the 15 plaintiffs. Just again a housekeeping matter. 16 THE COURT: Surely. 17 MS. CHAITEN: When would you like those letters submitted to the Court? 18 19 THE COURT: When? 20 MS. CHAITEN: When, yes. 21 THE COURT: Oh, by -- can you do it in the next day or 22 two? 23 MS. CHAITEN: Absolutely. Thank you. 24 THE COURT: There is not a rush or anything like that. I just THAUL while it came to me I would ask for it. You know page 18 how old folks are, we occasionally forget so I thought I would ask for it while I thought about it. 3 And short of that, I hope we haven't driven Mr. Hut 4 away, I hope he is still checking out the draft possibilities for Saturday and getting a step ahead of me because I'm5 6 precluded from doing that because I have to attend these 7 gatherings with you. 8 MS. CHAITEN: Your Honor Mr. Hut apologizes for not 9 being here. He had another commitment and needed to leave. 10 THE COURT: No problem, I am only jesting. I am sure 11 you understand. 12 All right F. there is nothing further then we will, 13 this time, recess or adjourn sine dea at the pleasure of the 14 Court of Appeals. 1.5 Thank you all very much and I hope you have a lovely 16 evening.